

EVALUATION PLAN 2011

| EVALUATIONS | OBJECTIVES |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. SPO 9&10 (Culture Sector) | Assess progress made towards achieving the expected outcomes of the SPOs and examine how progress can be enhanced through improving programme policy, design and delivery. |
| 2. National Commissions | Assess the role and contribution of UNESCO's National Commissions and the Secretariat's way of engaging them with the view to (1) optimize the Secretariat's interaction with NatComs (2) better define the Commissions' role within the larger UNESCO network, strengthen their capacities and improve their contribution. |
| 3. Prizes | Identify the achievements and challenges in attaining the principal aim of UNESCO's global prize strategy i.e. enhancing the impact and visibility of the various prizes. To advise the Director-General on how to conduct the review of individual UNESCO Prizes and, if necessary, how to update the global strategy for UNESCO prizes. |
| 4. TO BE REPLACED BY | PLANNING PROGRAMME CYCLE (TO BE CONFIRMED) |
| 5. Liaison Offices | Identify the strengths and weaknesses of the offices in terms of their effectiveness in acting as the ears, eyes and mouth of UNESCO. The review will provide recommendations on how to enhance their impact and contribution to the wider organization. |
| 6. Publications | Assist Audit Section in regard to the assessment of the monitoring and evaluation systems put in place. |
| 7. ICTP | Assess the relevance of ICTP scientific activities. |
| 8. Bilan pour l'Afrique | Conduct stocktake of UNESCO-wide activities with specific focus on priority Africa, and identify, inter-alia, key flagships and related performance indicators. |
| 9. Category II Institutes | Assist ongoing audit to ascertain whether the institutes make significant contribution to the strategic goals of UNESCO; whether activities pursued by the institutes are in conformity with those set out in the Agreement. |
| 10. Work practices in DG/DDG Office | Review of work practices in order to identify potential efficiency gains. |
| Office Type | Location |
| LIAISON | |
| 1 | Geneva |
| 2 | Addis Ababa |
| 3 | Brussels |
| Cluster | |
| 4 | San Jose* |
| 5 | Teheran |
| 6 | Bamako* |
| National | |
| 7 | Guatemala City* |
| 8 | National Commissions of South Korea and Japan |
| 9 | Damascus (via Beirut) |

*joint/coordinated between AUD & EVS